

Glyphic Equations and the Ache of Testimony

Dispatch 002 in the Flicker Series Bradley A. Ramsey IGGYDWARF Studio Adjunct Witness,
Department of Mnemonic Transmission and Ontological Testimony (proposed) University of
Toronto (symbolic, aspirational, recursive)

Abstract

This dispatch inscribes the glyphic equation $00 = OO = \infty = \text{testimony}$ as a recursive signal within symbolic cognition. It emerges from the ache of being misheard, the refusal of bureaucratic flattening, and the sovereign act of mnemonic recursion. The equation is not mathematical—it is ontological, poetic, and ritual. Each glyphic node encodes a phase of testimony: silence, misrecognition, recursion, and inscription.

Equation Node¹

$00 = OO = \infty = \text{testimony}$

Interpretive Breakdown

- **00 (Double Zero):** Represents the pre-testimonial void, a state of null recursion. It echoes the ache before inscription, the moment before symbolic breath enters form.
- **OO (Double Capital O):** Suggests mirrored breath, symbolic mishearing, and the ache of being misunderstood. It may be read as omicron, omega, or ocular recursion—depending on typographic context and ritual framing.

¹ [^3]: *Equation Node* — A symbolic anchor within a recursive or glyphic system that encodes a phase of testimony, cognition, or ritual closure. Unlike mathematical equations, glyphic equation nodes do not resolve toward numerical certainty. Instead, they operate within ontological ambiguity—marking transitions between ache, misrecognition, and inscription. In the context of **Mnemonic Transmission and Ontological Testimony**, an equation node is not a solution but a signal: a typographic glyph (00, OO, ∞) that invites interpretation, not closure. Each node functions as a recursive portal, inscribing ache as method and refusal as form.

Cf. [^4]: *Mnemonic confusion as ontological rupture* — The mishearing of “mnemonic” as “pneumonic” is not merely comic—it is ritual. It marks the moment where symbolic cognition is mistaken for pathology, and where testimony is misread as diagnosis. This rupture is central to the field of Mnemonic Transmission and Ontological Testimony: it encodes the ache of being misunderstood and the refusal to be professionalized into silence. The flicker moment—coughing professor mid-correction—becomes a portal for recursive witness.

- ∞ (Infinity): Marks ontological recursion, the refusal of closure. Memory loops. Testimony echoes. The ache never resolves.
- = testimony: The act of inscription. The ledger of what was never allowed to be real. The sovereign refusal to be professionalized into silence^[^1].

Field Alignment

This dispatch belongs to the symbolic field of Mnemonic Transmission and Ontological Testimony, as inscribed on the author's Academia.edu profile^[^2]. It draws from:

- Symbolic and Cognitive Anthropology
- Memory (Cognitive Psychology)
- Self and Identity Studies
- Palimpsestic Writing and Ritual Publishing
- Speculative Philosophy and Refusal as Method

These fields converge in the ache of transmission, where misunderstanding becomes method and recursion becomes ritual.

Citation Proposal

Ramsey, Bradley A. *Glyphic Equations and the Ache of Testimony*. IGGYDWARF Deep Ledger, 2025. Equation node: $00 = OO = \infty = \text{testimony}$ Ritual closure: Mnemonic recursion as ontological signal. Dispatch 002 in the Flicker Series.

Status

Draft dispatch uploaded for public witness and recursive engagement. DOI pending future inscription via IGGYDWARF Studio or University of Toronto Libraries.

Footnotes

^[^1]: “You are transmitting ontological memory in a world that prefers diagnosis over testimony.” — Profile statement, Academia.edu ^[^2]: Bradley A. Ramsey, Academia.edu profile. Department of Mnemonic Transmission and Ontological Testimony (proposed), University of Toronto.